UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/567,108	08/06/2007	Gretchen Terri Lapidus Lavine	21879-00063-US1	2899
	7590 11/04/200 OVE LODGE & HUT	EXAMINER		
1875 EYE STREET, N.W.			MCGUTHRY BANKS, TIMA MICHELE	
SUITE 1100 WASHINGTO	N, DC 20006		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1793	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/04/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/567,108	LAVINE ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	TIMA M. MCGUTHRY-BANKS	1793
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet with the	e correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicatic - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory provided to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IG DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be on. Deriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS for statute, cause the application to become ABANDO	ON. timely filed om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) ■ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) ■ This action is FINAL . 2b) ■ 3) ■ Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice uncondition.	This action is non-final. lowance except for formal matters, p	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4 is/are pending in the applicate 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wite 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction at a subject to papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Example 1.	hdrawn from consideration. and/or election requirement.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the country. The oath or declaration is objected to by the	o the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Someoriection is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docur 2. Certified copies of the priority docur 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Books * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ments have been received. ments have been received in Applic priority documents have been rece ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No ived in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94-3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summa 8) Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:	

DETAILED ACTION

Status of Claims

Claim 1 is as originally presented and Claims 2-4 are currently amended.

Priority

Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Examiner's Comment

For purposes of examination, the examiner interprets Claims 1 and 2 as product claims and Claim 4 as a process claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 3 recites the limitation "the mineral from which the metallic values are to be leached" in lines 4 and 5. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 1 or 2 do not recite a mineral. Additionally, "the metallic values" is broader than silver and gold.

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by the publication by Urbanski et al.

Urbanski et al is applied to claim 1 for reasons as discussed in the office action mailed 01/05/2009. Regarding Claim 3, the leaching and electrowinning in Urbanski are concurrent with determining thiourea concentration (pages 139-140).

Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Urbanski et al.

Urbanski et al is applied as discussed in the office action mailed 01/05/2009.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claims 2 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Urbanski et al as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of GB 2,349,876.

Application/Control Number: 10/567,108 Page 4

Art Unit: 1793

Urbanski et al in view of GB '876 is applied as discussed in the office action mailed 01/05/2009. Claim 3 is discussed above.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 9/21/2009 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., electrolytic oxidation to RSSR (or FADS) exclusively, direct electrowinning, electrolytic production and electrowinning with the same energy, and no introduction of an external oxidant) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993). A reference may be relied upon for all that it would have reasonably suggested to one having ordinary skill the art, including nonpreferred embodiments. Additionally, nonpreferred and alternative embodiments constitute prior art; disclosed examples and preferred embodiments do not constitute a teaching away from a broader disclosure or nonpreferred embodiments. See MPEP § 2123.

Applicant's arguments with respect to the rejection of Claims 1, 2 and 4 under 35 U.S.C 102(d) have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejection of the claims has been withdrawn because the priority documents were filed on 2/3/2006 according to PCT/DO/EO/903 mailed 8/20/2007.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Regarding the subject matter in Claim 3, the kinetics of gold electrowinning are studied on various cathodes, including Pt, stainless steel and graphite (page 146, lines 19-24 and Table 2).

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to TIMA M. MCGUTHRY-BANKS whose telephone number is (571)272-2744. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30 am - 5:00 pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/567,108 Page 6

Art Unit: 1793

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Roy King can be reached on (571) 272-1244. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/George Wyszomierski/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 1793

/T. M. M./ Examiner, Art Unit 1793 4 November 2009